ON ASSESSING THE RISK OF NUCLEAR WAR

ON ASSESSING THE RISK OF NUCLEAR WAR

ON ASSESSING THE RISK OF NUCLEAR WAR

Edited by James Scouras

T e Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 11100 Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, Maryland 20723

' 2021 T e Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory LLC All rights reserved.

T e price we pay for maintaining nuclear weapons is the gamble that the highly improbable will not lead to the unthinkable.

Eben Harrell, T e Nuclear Risk: How Long Will Our Luck Hold?

Contents

| Preface | xi |
|---|----|
| Editor's Acknowledgments | XV |
| 1. Framing the Questions James Scouras | 1 |
| 2. Historical Case Study | 17 |

Preface

General perception of the risk of nuclear war has a strong in uence on the broad directions of national policy. For example, arguments for both national missile defenses and deep reductions in nuclear forces depend in no small part on judgments that deterrence is unreliable. However, such judgments are usually based on intuition, rather than on a synthesis of insights from the most appropriate analytic methods that can be brought A er I le the Defense reat Reduction Agency to join the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, the opportunity arose to pursue this idea. e Laboratory allocates a portion of its funding to a program of innovative research with the potential for signi cant impact on critical national challenges. is study, initially funded under

Editor's Acknowledgments

I am grateful for the contributions of the authors, as well as numerous other colleagues, in advancing this book. In particular, I think Martin Hellman for providing the original inspiration for the topic; numerous nuanced discussions involving the intricate relationships among nuclear strategy, risk, and analysis; and detailed reviews of all chapters in their formative stages; Gregory Melcher, for providing the original funding under which this work was begun at the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory and for his continued support throughout the project; Andrew Bennett, for reviewing and signifcantly improving the frst and f nal chapters; Jane Booker for alerting me to the importance of including a chapter on knowledge integration; and numerous reviewers who are individually acknowledged in the various chapters.